Sevenoaks District Council - Big Community Fund – 2012/13

Appraisal template for use by the Members' Appraisal Panel

Criteria Number	Criteria Description	Detail	Applications Points Allocated									Points Available
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
6.1	The extent to which the application meets the aims of the Scheme	Aim 1: To make physical improvements to the area; for example this project will improve an area that people are not happy with or will introduce a new piece of equipment,										20
		Aim 2: To strengthen local communities; for example, there will be a legacy to this project because it leaves the community with a physical or other resource that will have a lasting benefit or it ensures that local people will continue to be involved in the future,										20
		Aim 3: To help more vulnerable people in local communities; for example, this project is aimed at a particular group in the community, eg older people,										10

BIG COMMUNITY FUND APPLICATIONS

Criteria Number	Criteria Description	Detail	Applications Points Allocated									Points Available
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
		those who cannot travel, etc,.										
		Aim 4: To improve safety and well-being in local communities. For example, this project will help people to have a greater sense of safety, security or general well-being,										10
6.2	Value for Money	The project attracts funding from elsewhere.										10
		The project is more appropriately funded by the landowner, delivery organisation or another agency. (There is a separate calculation around the cost and benefit at the end of the template)										-50
6.3	The extent to which the application has involved local people and meets an	The planning of the project has involved local people										10
		The project meets an identified										10

BIG COMMUNITY FUND APPLICATIONS

Criteria Number	Criteria Description	Detail	Applications Points Allocated									Points Available
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	identified local need	community need										
6.4	The feasibility, long-term sustainability and innovation of the project	The project is feasible, eg there is a strong delivery partner, any other required funding is in place, the project is definitely achievable. (If there are significant doubts concerning the feasibility of the project, the Appraisal Panel may wish to reject the project at this stage but will need to justify doing so.)										10
		The project demonstrates innovation – eg, this could include a new approach to an existing problem or an innovative piece of equipment										20
6.5	The extent to which the application is self-sustaining with no on-	There is no on-going need for running costs										20
		OR This project will need to be sustained in the long-term and										10

BIG COMMUNITY FUND APPLICATIONS

Criteria Number	Criteria Description	Detail	Applications Points Allocated									Points Available
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	going need for running costs	funding is in place										
		OR This project will need long-term input and there is no long-term funding plan in place										-50
6.6	The extent to which the Ward has already benefitted from the scheme	The fact that the ward has already benefitted is not a reason for refusal. However, where there are many competing schemes of similar value, the appraisal panel can award additional points to applications from wards who have not previously received grants.										20
		TOTAL POINTS ALLOCATED										
		TOTAL APPLIED FOR										
		COST/BENEFIT										